

**System and Method for Process Driven Quality Measures****BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION****1. Technical Field**

5       The present invention relates in general to a system and method for process driven quality measures. More particularly, the present invention relates to a system and method for applying a set of common metrics to each of a product lifecycle's product phases.

**2. Description of the Related Art**

10       A business typically employs a product lifecycle to develop a product. A product lifecycle may include multiple "product phases", such as a planning phase, a design phase, a development phase, a test phase, and a release phase. Different individuals or groups may be  
15       responsible for each of these product phases, causing potential product goal miscommunication and misdirection amongst each product phase.

      Some businesses develop a product without measurable quality criteria. These businesses may understand their  
20       target marketplace's quality requirements but the businesses do not apply their knowledge to a product's lifecycle goals. A challenge found is that a business may spend time and money to develop a product and discover that customers do not accept the product because the product  
25       lifecycle's goals do not match marketplace quality requirements.

Other businesses develop a product with measurable quality criteria that, however, are focused on individual product phases which may be a phase-dependent "important metric of the moment." These differences cause a business  
5 to change focus each time a product team changes from one phase to another phase. Changing focus impacts the overall quality of a product because the team is not working to a common set of quality goals.

For example, a business's goal during a "planning"  
10 phase may be to ensure that the plan meets an executive edict that the product ships by a specific date. Continuing this example, the business's goal during a "coding" phase may be to count unit test errors. A challenge found by having individual metrics that are  
15 segmented by product phases, however, is that some or all of the phases may not use metrics that are driven by marketplace quality requirements.

What is needed, therefore, is a system and method to consistently apply common metrics across each of a product  
20 lifecycle's phases.

**SUMMARY**

It has been discovered that the aforementioned challenges are resolved by using a set of common metrics to generate phase goals for each product phase in a product's lifecycle. The common metrics are generated and ranked using customer feedback responses which are weighted based upon a feedback response's relative importance to a business goal, such as customer satisfaction.

A common metrics manager applies a set of common metrics to product phases that correspond to a product lifecycle. Common metrics manager functions may be performed by a product team or may also be performed by an electronic computing device, such as a personal computer. A common metric encompasses three characteristics which are 1) the metric is measurable, 2) the metric is defined prior to the start of a product lifecycle, and 3) the metric is not altered through an entire product lifecycle. A product's lifecycle includes five product phases which are a planning phase, a design phase, a development phase, a test phase, and a release phase. As one skilled in the art can appreciate, product phases other than those that are described herein may be used during a product lifecycle.

The common metrics manager uses feedback responses it receives from a customer to generate a set of common metrics for use with a product lifecycle. The customer feedback response may be received from various feedback sources, such as a customer survey that corresponds to a previous product version, a help line report, or a field report. The common metrics manager analyzes each feedback

response, and determines a weighted priority for each feedback response. A weighted priority is determined based upon the impact that the particular feedback response has on a business goal, such as customer satisfaction. For example, if a feedback response greatly effects customer satisfaction, such as the customer's product not functioning properly, the particular feedback response receives a high weighted priority. The feedback response's weighted priority is added to a corresponding common metric's weighted priority count which tracks the weighted priority of feedback responses for that particular common metric.

Once the common metrics manager analyzes each customer feedback response and identifies a set of common metrics, the common metrics manager generates phase goals for each product phase in a product lifecycle using the common metrics. The number of phase goals generated for a common metric corresponds to the importance (i.e. ranking) of the common metric. For example, if a common metric has a highest weighted priority count relative to other common metrics, the common metric has the most number of corresponding phase goals for each product phase. For example, if a common metric is "reliability" and it has the highest weighted priority count, the common metrics manager may generate a substantial amount of reliability-related phase goals for each product phase.

Once the phase goals for each phase are determined, a product is developed using the phase goals, and the product is released to a customer. In turn, a customer sends a feedback response corresponding to the released product to the common metrics manager in which the common metrics

manager uses to refine and/or develop new common metrics to use with a subsequent product lifecycle.

5 The foregoing is a summary and thus contains, by necessity, simplifications, generalizations, and omissions of detail; consequently, those skilled in the art will appreciate that the summary is illustrative only and is not intended to be in any way limiting. Other aspects, inventive features, and advantages of the present invention, as defined solely by the claims, will become  
10 apparent in the non-limiting detailed description set forth below.

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

The present invention may be better understood, and its numerous objects, features, and advantages made apparent to those skilled in the art by referencing the accompanying drawings. The use of the same reference symbols in different drawings indicates similar or identical items.

**Figure 1** is a diagram showing a common metrics manager applying a set of common metrics to each product phase that corresponds to a product lifecycle;

**Figure 2** is high-level flow chart showing steps taken in applying common metrics throughout a product lifecycle;

**Figure 3** is a flowchart showing steps taken in assigning a weighted priority to feedback and organizing the feedback into common metric categories;

**Figure 4** is a flowchart showing steps taken in applying common metrics to each product phase of a product lifecycle;

**Figure 5A** is a table showing a list of common metrics and their corresponding weighted priority counts;

**Figure 5B** is a table showing a list of common metrics and the number of phase goals that correspond to each common metric for each product phase; and

**Figure 6** is a block diagram of an information handling system capable of implementing the present invention.

**DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

The following is intended to provide a detailed description of an example of the invention and should not be taken to be limiting of the invention itself. Rather,  
5 any number of variations may fall within the scope of the invention which is defined in the claims following the description.

**Figure 1** is a diagram showing a common metrics manager applying a set of common metrics to each product phase that corresponds to a product lifecycle. Common metrics manager  
10 **100** may represent a product team or may also represent an electronic computing device, such as a personal computer.

Common metrics manager **100** applies a set of common metrics to product phases that correspond to product  
15 lifecycle **110**. A common metric encompasses three characteristics which are 1) the metric is measurable, 2) the metric is defined prior to the start of a product lifecycle, and 3) the metric is not altered through an entire product lifecycle. Product lifecycle **110** includes  
20 five product phases which are planning phase **120**, design phase **130**, development phase **140**, test phase **150**, and release phase **160**. As one skilled in the art can appreciate, product phases other than that which is shown in **Figure 1** may be used during a product lifecycle.

25 Common metrics manager **100** uses feedback responses (e.g. feedback **180**) to generate a set of common metrics, such as common metrics **190**, for use with product lifecycle **110**. Feedback **180** is generated by customer **170** and may be received from various feedback sources, such as a customer

survey that corresponds to a previous product version, a help line report, or a field report. Common metrics manager **100** analyzes each feedback response, and determines a weighted priority for each feedback response. A weighted  
5 priority is determined based upon the impact that the particular feedback response has on a business goal, such as customer satisfaction. For example, if a feedback response greatly effected customer satisfaction, such as the customer's product not functioning, the particular  
10 feedback response receives a high weighted priority (see **Figure 3** and corresponding text for further details regarding weighted priority determination). The feedback response's weighted priority is added to a corresponding common metric's weighted priority count which tracks the  
15 amount and the priority of feedback responses for that particular common metric.

Once common metrics manager **100** analyzes each feedback response and identifies a set of common metrics (e.g. common metrics **190**), common metrics manager **100** generates  
20 phase goals for each product phase in product lifecycle **110** using common metrics **190**. The number of phase goals generated for a common metric corresponds to the importance of the common metric. For example, if a common metric has the highest weighted priority count out of all of the  
25 common metrics, the common metric will have the most number of corresponding phase goals for each product phase.

Common metrics manager **100** generates phase goals **122** for use during planning phase **120**. For example, if a common metric is "reliability", phase goals **122** may include  
30 specific planning phase line items to focus on reliability issues. Common metrics manager **100** generates phase goals



**132** for use during design phase **130**. Using the example described above, phase goals **132** may include specific design phase requirements to ensure that the product's design improves the overall reliability of the resulting product.

Common metrics manager **100** generates phase goals **142** for use during development phase **140**. Using the example described above, phase goals **142** may include specific development phase requirements which require a software programmer to focus on product reliability. Common metrics manager **100** generates phase goals **152** for use during test phase **150**. Using the example described above, phase goals **152** may include specific requirements to test a product's durability. Common metrics manager **100** generates phase goals **162** for use during development phase **160**. Using the example described above, phase goals **162** may include specific release phase requirements to ensure the reliability of the product release, such as ensuring that the product is packaged with all key components and ensure the customer is able to order and receive the product in a timely manner.

Once a product is released to customer **170**, customer **170** sends feedback **180** corresponding to the released product to common metrics manager **100** in which common metrics manager **100** uses to refine and/or develop new common metrics to use with a subsequent product lifecycle.

**Figure 2** is high-level flow chart showing steps taken in applying common metrics throughout a product lifecycle. Processing commences at **200**, whereupon processing analyzes feedback that is located in feedback store **220** and

categorizes the feedback into common metric categories (pre-defined process block **210**, see **Figure 3** and corresponding text for further details). Feedback may come from various feedback sources, such as a customer survey, a  
5 help line report, a technical support line report, or a field report. Feedback store **220** may be stored on a nonvolatile storage area, such as a computer hard drive.

Once processing has identified a set of common metrics, processing applies the common metrics to each  
10 product phase that corresponds to a product lifecycle in order to generate phase goals for each product phase (pre-defined process block **230**, see **Figure 4** and corresponding text for further details). For example, if a common metric is "reliability", a phase goal for a "product test" phase  
15 may include specific tests that include extensive test conditions to measure the performance and durability of the product. Processing stores the generated phase goals in metrics store **240**. Metrics store **240** may be stored on a nonvolatile storage area, such as a computer hard drive.

20 Processing executes each product phase using the created phase goals at step **250**, and a product is shipped to customer **170** at step **260**. Customer **170** is the same as that shown in **Figure 1**. Processing collects feedback regarding the product at step **270**. For example, customer  
25 **170** may send a customer survey. In another example, if customer **170** has problems with the product, customer **170** may call a technical support line in order to get assistance on the operation of the product. In this example, a technical support personnel may generate a  
30 report that describes the issue that customer **170** is experiencing. Customer **170's** feedback is stored in

feedback store **220** whereby the feedback may be used for product improvements and/or new product developments.

A determination is made as to whether to develop a new product (decision **280**). If processing should develop a new product, decision **280** branches to "Yes" branch **282** which loops back to begin common metrics development for the new product using the collected customer feedback. This looping continues until processing should not develop a new product, at which point decision **280** branches to "No" branch **288** whereupon processing ends at **290**.

**Figure 3** is a flowchart showing steps taken in assigning a weighted priority to feedback and organizing the feedback into common metric categories. Feedback categorization commences at **300**, whereupon processing retrieves a first feedback response from feedback store **220** at step **310**. A feedback response may come from various feedback sources, such as a customer survey, a help line report, a technical support line report, or a field report. Feedback store **220** is the same as that shown in **Figure 2** and may be stored on a nonvolatile storage area, such as a computer hard drive. Processing analyzes the retrieved feedback response at step **320**. For example, the feedback may be analyzed to identify the criticality of the feedback, such as if a customer's product is inoperable due to the lack of reliability of the product.

A determination is made as to whether the retrieved feedback belongs in an existing common metric category (decision **330**). Using the example described above, existing common metric categories may be usability and installability, and the retrieved feedback as described

above relates to "reliability" which does not belong in either of the two existing common metric categories.

If the retrieved feedback does not belong in an existing metric category, decision **330** branches to "No" branch **338** whereupon processing creates a new category in metrics store **240** at step **350** (i.e. "reliability"). Metrics store **240** is the same as that shown in **Figure 2** and may be stored on a nonvolatile storage area, such as a computer hard drive. Processing stores the feedback in the newly created common metric category at step **355**, and determines a weighted priority to correspond to the feedback at step **360**. Using the example described above, the feedback may receive a high weighted priority due to the impact of the customer's issue.

Processing increments a corresponding common metric counter to reflect the weighted priority at step **365** in a weighted priority look-up table stored in metrics store **240** (see **Figure 5A** and corresponding text for further details regarding weighted priority look-up table properties).

If the retrieved feedback response corresponds to an existing common metric category, decision **330** branches to "Yes" branch **332** whereupon processing stores the feedback response in the corresponding common metric in the weighted priority look-up table located in metrics store **240**. Processing determines a weighted priority to correspond to the retrieved feedback response at step **340**, and increments the common metric category counter corresponding to the weighted priority in the weighted priority look-up table that is stored in metrics store **240**.

A determination is made as to whether there are more feedback responses to categorize (decision **370**). If there are more feedback responses to categorize, decision **370** branches to "Yes" branch **372** which loops back to select  
5 (step **380**) and process the next feedback response. This looping continues until there are no more feedback responses to process, at which point decision **370** branches to "No" branch **378** whereupon processing returns at **390**.

**Figure 4** is a flowchart showing steps taken in  
10 applying common metrics to each product phase of a product lifecycle. Processing commences at **400**, whereupon processing retrieves a first common metric with a highest weighted priority count from metrics store **240** (step **410**). A weighted priority count is a summation of weighted  
15 priorities that correspond to feedback responses which are based upon the impact of the feedback to a customer. For example, if a feedback response was received that was assigned a weighted priority of 10, the common metric's counter that corresponds to the feedback response is  
20 incremented by 10 ( $1 \times 10 = 10$ ) (see **Figures 3, 5A**, and corresponding text for further details regarding weighted priority numbers). Metrics store **240** is the same as that shown in **Figure 2** and may be stored on a nonvolatile storage area, such as a computer hard drive.

25 Processing selects a first product phase from metrics store **240** at step **420**. Product phases correspond to a product's lifecycle, such as a planning phase, a design phase, a development phase, a test phase, and a release phase (see **Figure 1** and corresponding text for further  
30 details regarding product lifecycle phases). Processing generates phase goals for the selected product phase using

the retrieved common metric at step **440**. For example, if the retrieved common metric is "reliability", a "test phase" phase goal may include specific tests that include extensive test conditions to measure the performance and durability of a product.

A determination is made as to whether there are more product phases to apply the first common metric (decision **450**). If there are more product phases to apply the first common metric, decision **450** branches to "Yes" branch **452** which loops back to select (step **460**) and process the next product phase. This looping continues until there are no more product phases to process, at which point decision **450** branches to "No" branch **458**.

A determination is made as to whether there are more common metrics to apply to product phases (decision **470**). For example, a variety of common metrics may be applied to each product phase, such as "capability", "usability", and "performance." If there are more common metrics to apply to each product phase, decision **470** branches to "Yes" branch **472** which loops back to select (step **480**) and process the next common metric. This looping continues until there are no more common metrics to process, at which point decision **470** branches to "No" branch **478** whereupon processing returns at **490**.

**Figure 5A** is a table showing a list of common metrics and their corresponding weighted priority counts. Table **500** includes columns **505** and **510**. Column **505** includes a list of common metrics that are used for generating phase goals for each of a product lifecycle's phases. A product team may start with a few common metrics, and add more

common metrics to their list during feedback analysis (see **Figures 1, 3**, and corresponding text for further details regarding feedback analysis). Column **510** includes a list of weighted priority counts that correspond to each common  
5 metric that is shown in column **505**. A weighted priority count is a summation of feedback responses which are assigned a weighted priority based upon the impact of the feedback to the customer.

Table **500** includes rows **512** through **526** which show a  
10 plurality of corresponding weighted priority counts. Row **512** includes a "capability" common metric with a weighted priority count of "120." As can be seen in **Figure 5A**, the "capability" common metric has a highest weighted priority count and, therefore, will have the highest priority placed  
15 on it when the "capability" common metric is applied to each product phase that is included in a product lifecycle. Row **514** includes a "usability" common metric with a weighted priority count of "90." Row **516** includes a "performance" common metric with a weighted priority count  
20 of "80." Row **518** includes a "reliability" common metric with a weighted priority count of "70." Row **520** includes an "installability" common metric with a weighted priority count of "60." Row **522** includes a "maintainability" common metric with a weighted priority count of "50." Row **524**  
25 includes a "documentation" common metric with a weighted priority count of "40." Row **526** includes a "serviceability" common metric with a weighted priority count of "30." As can be seen in **Figure 5A**, the "serviceability" common metric has a lowest weighted  
30 priority count and, therefore, will have the lowest priority placed on it when the "serviceability" common

metric is applied to each product phase that is included in a product lifecycle.

**Figure 5B** is a table showing a list of common metrics and the number of phase goals that correspond to each common metric for each product phase. Table **530** includes column **535** which includes a list of common metrics that a product team generated after analyzing feedback responses (see **Figure 3** and corresponding text for further details regarding feedback response analysis).

Table **530** also includes columns **540** through **580** which are a list of product phases that correspond to a particular product lifecycle. Columns **540**, **550**, **560**, **570**, and **580** correspond to a planning phase, a design phase, a development phase, a test phase, and a release phase, respectively. In addition, each column includes an amount of phase goals that correspond to each common metric for each product phase. The amount of phase goals for a particular common metric is dependent upon the common metric's weighted priority count. For example, if a common metric has a high weighted priority count, the common metric is a high priority common metric, and, therefore, a large number of phase goals are generated to correspond to the common metric (see **Figure 4** and corresponding text for further details regarding phase goal generation).

Table **530** includes rows **582** through **596** which correspond to particular common metrics. Row **582** includes a "capability" common metric whereby each product phase includes "12" phase goals to correspond with the capability metric. As can be shown in **Figure 5B**, the "capability" metric has the most number of corresponding product goals.



Row **584** includes a "usability" common metric whereby each product phase includes "9" phase goals to correspond with the usability metric. Row **586** includes a "performance" common metric whereby each product phase includes "8" phase goals to correspond with the performance metric. Row **588** includes a "reliability" common metric whereby each product phase includes "7" phase goals to correspond with the reliability metric. Row **590** includes an "installability" common metric whereby each product phase includes "6" phase goals to correspond with the installability metric. Row **592** includes a "maintainability" common metric whereby each product phase includes "5" phase goals to correspond with the maintainability metric. Row **594** includes a "documentation" common metric whereby each product phase includes "4" phase goals to correspond with the documentation metric. Row **596** includes a "serviceability" common metric whereby each product phase includes "3" phase goals to correspond with the serviceability metric.

**Figure 6** illustrates information handling system **601** which is a simplified example of a computer system capable of performing the computing operations described herein. Computer system **601** includes processor **600** which is coupled to host bus **602**. A level two (L2) cache memory **604** is also coupled to host bus **602**. Host-to-PCI bridge **606** is coupled to main memory **608**, includes cache memory and main memory control functions, and provides bus control to handle transfers among PCI bus **610**, processor **600**, L2 cache **604**, main memory **608**, and host bus **602**. Main memory **608** is coupled to Host-to-PCI bridge **606** as well as host bus **602**. Devices used solely by host processor(s) **600**, such as LAN card **630**, are coupled to PCI bus **610**. Service Processor

Interface and ISA Access Pass-through **612** provides an interface between PCI bus **610** and PCI bus **614**. In this manner, PCI bus **614** is insulated from PCI bus **610**. Devices, such as flash memory **618**, are coupled to PCI bus **614**. In one implementation, flash memory **618** includes BIOS code that incorporates the necessary processor executable code for a variety of low-level system functions and system boot functions.

PCI bus **614** provides an interface for a variety of devices that are shared by host processor(s) **600** and Service Processor **616** including, for example, flash memory **618**. PCI-to-ISA bridge **635** provides bus control to handle transfers between PCI bus **614** and ISA bus **640**, universal serial bus (USB) functionality **645**, power management functionality **655**, and can include other functional elements not shown, such as a real-time clock (RTC), DMA control, interrupt support, and system management bus support. Nonvolatile RAM **620** is attached to ISA Bus **640**. Service Processor **616** includes JTAG and I2C busses **622** for communication with processor(s) **600** during initialization steps. JTAG/I2C busses **622** are also coupled to L2 cache **604**, Host-to-PCI bridge **606**, and main memory **608** providing a communications path between the processor, the Service Processor, the L2 cache, the Host-to-PCI bridge, and the main memory. Service Processor **616** also has access to system power resources for powering down information handling device **601**.

Peripheral devices and input/output (I/O) devices can be attached to various interfaces (e.g., parallel interface **662**, serial interface **664**, keyboard interface **668**, and mouse interface **670** coupled to ISA bus **640**. Alternatively,

many I/O devices can be accommodated by a super I/O controller (not shown) attached to ISA bus **640**.

In order to attach computer system **601** to another computer system to copy files over a network, LAN card **630**  
5 is coupled to PCI bus **610**. Similarly, to connect computer system **601** to an ISP to connect to the Internet using a telephone line connection, modem **675** is connected to serial port **664** and PCI-to-ISA Bridge **635**.

While the computer system described in **Figure 6** is  
10 capable of executing the processes described herein, this computer system is simply one example of a computer system. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that many other computer system designs are capable of performing the processes described herein.

15 One of the preferred implementations of the invention is an application, namely, a set of instructions (program code) in a code module which may, for example, be resident in the random access memory of the computer. Until required by the computer, the set of instructions may be  
20 stored in another computer memory, for example, on a hard disk drive, or in removable storage such as an optical disk (for eventual use in a CD ROM) or floppy disk (for eventual use in a floppy disk drive), or downloaded via the Internet or other computer network. Thus, the present invention may  
25 be implemented as a computer program product for use in a computer. In addition, although the various methods described are conveniently implemented in a general purpose computer selectively activated or reconfigured by software, one of ordinary skill in the art would also recognize that  
30 such methods may be carried out in hardware, in firmware,

or in more specialized apparatus constructed to perform the required method steps.

While particular embodiments of the present invention have been shown and described, it will be obvious to those skilled in the art that, based upon the teachings herein, changes and modifications may be made without departing from this invention and its broader aspects and, therefore, the appended claims are to encompass within their scope all such changes and modifications as are within the true spirit and scope of this invention. Furthermore, it is to be understood that the invention is solely defined by the appended claims. It will be understood by those with skill in the art that if a specific number of an introduced claim element is intended, such intent will be explicitly recited in the claim, and in the absence of such recitation no such limitation is present. For a non-limiting example, as an aid to understanding, the following appended claims contain usage of the introductory phrases "at least one" and "one or more" to introduce claim elements. However, the use of such phrases should not be construed to imply that the introduction of a claim element by the indefinite articles "a" or "an" limits any particular claim containing such introduced claim element to inventions containing only one such element, even when the same claim includes the introductory phrases "one or more" or "at least one" and indefinite articles such as "a" or "an"; the same holds true for the use in the claims of definite articles.